Computational Intelligence Laboratory Lecture 5 **Embeddings**

Thomas Hofmann

ETH Zurich – <cil.inf.ethz.ch>

20 March 2020

K □ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ → 할 ▶ → 할 → 9 Q Q → 1/29

Section 1

[Motivation: Word Embeddings](#page-1-0)

4 미 > 4 레 > 4 레 > 4 레 > 1 레 > 9 이 2/29

Motivation: Embeddings

- \blacktriangleright Lexical Semantics
	- **In** natural language: atomic units of meaning are symbols words or phrases
	- \triangleright symbols rarely carry their meaning "on them"
	- \triangleright meaning of a word: its use in language (Wittgenstein, 1953)
- \blacktriangleright Semantic Representation
	- \triangleright given: examples of word uses in a corpus (word occurrences)
	- \triangleright goal: learn word representations that capture word meanings
	- most basic representation: embed symbols in vector space
	- \triangleright vector space structure (e.g. angles, distances) should relate to word meaning
	- \triangleright applies more broadly to other symbols (identifiable events)

Distributional Context Models

 \triangleright Predict context word given "active" word $=$ skip-gram model

 $p_{\theta}(w|w') =$ probability that w occurs in context window of w'

Section 2

[Basic Model](#page-4-0)

4 ロ → 4 @ → 4 할 → 4 할 → 1 할 → 9 Q O + 5/29

Context Model Likelihood

Objective function (log-likelihood) $=$ predictive score

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\triangle \in \mathcal{I}} \log p_{\theta}(w^{(t+\triangle)} | w^{(t)})
$$

 $\blacktriangleright\ \mathbf{w}=w^{(1)},\ldots,w^{(T)},$ sequence of words (implicitly padded)

- \triangleright window of offsets $\mathcal{I} = \{-R, \ldots, -1, 1, \ldots, R\}$
- \blacktriangleright alternatively: words within the same sentence
- Maximum likelihood estimation: $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathbf{w})$
	- \triangleright prefer model that assigns high probability to observed context
	- \blacktriangleright key question: how to define an appropriate model $p_{\theta}(w \, | \, w')$?

Latent Vector Model: Basic Model

In Latent vector representation of words $=$ embedding

$$
w \mapsto (\mathbf{x}_w, b_w) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad \text{(vector + bias)}
$$

Latent Vector Model: Basic Model

In Latent vector representation of words $=$ embedding

$$
w \mapsto (\mathbf{x}_w, b_w) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad \text{(vector + bias)}
$$

 \triangleright Define log-bilinear model

$$
\log p_{\theta}(w \mid w') = \langle \mathbf{x}_w, \mathbf{x}_{w'} \rangle + b_w + const.
$$

- \triangleright symmetric bilinear form fitted to log-probabilities
- \triangleright normalization constant (see below)
- \blacktriangleright Main effects:
	- ► unspecific: $b_w \uparrow \implies p_{\theta}(w | w') \uparrow \forall w'$
	- ► specific: $\angle(\mathbf{x}_w, \mathbf{x}_{w'}) \downarrow \implies p_\theta(w \, | \, w') \uparrow$
	- \triangleright inner products: interactions; biases: marginals

Latent Vector Model: Basic Model (cont'd)

► Exponentiating \implies soft-max

$$
p_{\theta}(w \mid w') = \frac{\exp\left[\langle \mathbf{x}_w, \mathbf{x}_{w'} \rangle + b_w\right]}{Z_{\theta}(w')}
$$

 \blacktriangleright partition function (normalization constant):

$$
Z_{\theta}(w') := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \exp\left[\langle \mathbf{x}_v, \mathbf{x}_{w'} \rangle + b_v\right]
$$

 \blacktriangleright model parameters:

$$
\theta = ((\mathbf{x}_w, b_w)_{w \in \mathcal{V}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \cdot |\mathcal{V}|}
$$

4 ロ ▶ 4 @ ▶ 4 할 ▶ 4 할 ▶ 그럴 ▶ 이 할 수 있으면 이 9/29

Section 3

[Skip-Gram Model](#page-9-0)

10 → 1日 → 1월 → 1월 → 1월 → 29 → 29 → 29

Latent Vector Model: Challenges

 \blacktriangleright Log-likelihood of basic model

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{I}} \Big[
$$
\n
$$
b_{w^{(t+\Delta)}} \quad \text{ok}
$$
\n
$$
+ \langle \mathbf{x}_{w^{(t+\Delta)}}, \mathbf{x}_{w^{(t)}} \rangle \quad \text{bi-linear} \longleftarrow \#1
$$
\n
$$
- \log \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \exp \left[\langle \mathbf{x}_v, \mathbf{x}_{w^{(t)}} \rangle + b_v \right] \quad \text{large cardinality} \longleftarrow \#2
$$

11/29

Modification $# 1$: Context Vectors

- \triangleright Distinguish output vocabulary V and input vocabulary C
- Introduce two different embeddings
	- ► \mathbf{x}_w : output embeddings, $w \in \mathcal{V}$
	- ► y_w : input embeddings, $w \in C$
- \triangleright Use mixed inner products

$$
\log p_\theta(w \,|\, w') = \langle \mathbf{x}_w, \mathbf{y}_{w'} \rangle + b_w
$$

Discussion

- \triangleright Pros: modelling flexibility; Cons: model dimensionality
- ► simpler model $\mathbf{x}_w = \mathbf{y}_w$ for $w \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{C}$ (not commonly used)

Modification $# 2$: Objective

- \blacktriangleright Alternatives to maximum likelihood:
	- \triangleright Contrastive divergence (word2vec, Mikolov et al. 2013)
	- \triangleright Negative sampling (Mikolov et al. 2013)
	- ▶ Pointwise mutual information (Levy & Goldberg 2014)
	- \triangleright Weighted squared loss (GloVe, Pennigton et al. 2013)

10 → 1日 → 1월 → 1월 → 1월 → 990 + 13/29

 \blacktriangleright Active area of research

Negative Sampling

- \triangleright Reduce estimation to binary classification \implies noise contrastive estimation (Gutmann & Hyvärinnen, 2010)
- \triangleright Simplified version: negative sampling
	- \blacktriangleright $p_n(i, j)$: probability to generate negative example of word pairs $\left(w_i, w_j\right)$ — can be defined quite arbitrary
	- ▶ observed pairs \implies positive training examples \triangle^+
	- \triangleright pairs sampled from $p_n \implies$ negative training examples \triangle^{-}
- ► Perform logistic regression, $\sigma(z) := \frac{1}{1+\exp(-z)}$, i.e. maximize

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_{(i,j)\in\triangle^+} \log \sigma(\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j \rangle) + \sum_{(i,j)\in\triangle^-} \log \sigma(-\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j \rangle)
$$

Negative Sampling (cont'd)

- \blacktriangleright How to sample negative examples?
- Distribution p_n
	- ► re-use active words (from data) \implies defines w_i
	- ► sample "random" context words: $w_j \propto P(w_j)^\alpha$, e.g. $\alpha = 3/4$
	- \blacktriangleright (exponent dampens frequent words)
- \blacktriangleright How many negative samples?
	- oversample by a factor k
	- \triangleright practical choices $k = 2 20$, smaller for larger data sets

10 → 11만 → 11만 → 11만 → 11만 + 120 +

Negative Sampling & PMI

 \blacktriangleright Bayes optimal discriminant for $\mathcal L$

$$
h_{ij}^* = \sigma^{-1} \left(\frac{\kappa p(w_i, w_j)}{\kappa p(w_i, w_j) + (1 - \kappa) p_n(w_i, w_j)} \right)
$$

$$
= \log \frac{p(w_i, w_j)}{p_n(w_i, w_j)} + \log \frac{\kappa}{1 - \kappa}
$$

where $\kappa = 1/(k+1)$.

For $k = 1$ (no oversampling) and $p_n(w_i, w_j) = p(w_i)p(w_j)$: pointwise mutual information

$$
\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j \rangle \approx \text{PMI}(w_i, w_j)
$$

10 → 11日 → 11월 → 11월 → 11월 → 129 → 129 → 129

Section 4

[GloVe](#page-16-0)

1日→ 1日→ 1日→ 1日→ 1日 → 990 17/29

Co-Occurrence Matrix

 \triangleright Summarize data in co-occurrence matrix

$$
\mathbf{N} = (n_{ij}) \in \mathbb{N}^{|\mathcal{V}| \cdot |\mathcal{C}|},
$$

 $n_{ij} = #$ occurrences of $w_i \in V$ in context of $w_j \in \mathcal{C}$

• e.g. $w_i =$ "castle", $w_i =$ "king", then $n_{ii} =$ how often did word "castle" occur in a context of word "king"

18/29 - 18/29 - 18/29 - 18/29 - 18/29 - 18/29

 \blacktriangleright Practicalities

- \triangleright N can be computed in one pass over the text corpus
- \blacktriangleright sparse matrix, most entries 0

GloVe Objective

 \blacktriangleright Weighted least squares fit of log-counts

$$
\mathcal{H}(\theta; \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{i,j} f(n_{ij}) \left(\underbrace{\log n_{ij}}_{\text{target}} - \underbrace{\log \tilde{p}_{\theta}(w_i|w_j)}_{\text{model}} \right)^2,
$$

with unnormalized distribution

$$
\tilde{p}_{\theta}(w_i|w_j) = \exp\left[\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j \rangle + b_i + c_j\right]
$$

10 H 1 d H 1 d H 1 d H 1 d + 0 d +

and weighting function f

GloVe Weighting

 \triangleright Weighting function

$$
f(n) = \min\left\{1, \left(\frac{n}{n_{\text{max}}}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}, \quad \alpha \in (0; 1] \text{ e.g. } \alpha = \frac{3}{4}
$$

- **Motivation**
	- ighth cut-off at n_{max} : limit influence of large counts (frequent words)
	- \blacktriangleright $f(n) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow 0$: as small counts are (very!) noisy
	- **Exerch** specific form with exponent α : heuristically chosen

Normalized vs. Unnormalized Models

- \blacktriangleright Normalized model
	- \blacktriangleright requires computation of partition function
	- \blacktriangleright general case over state space $Ω$

$$
p(\omega) = \frac{\exp\left[h(\omega)\right]}{\sum_{\omega' \in \Omega} \exp\left[h(\omega')\right]}
$$

 \blacktriangleright log-likelihood

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sum_t \log p(\omega_t)
$$

4 ロ → 4 레 → 4 페 → 4 페 → 1 画 → 수 의 수 있어 → 21/29

- $\rightarrow h(\omega) \uparrow \Longrightarrow p(\omega) \uparrow \Longrightarrow \log p(\omega) \uparrow \Longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \uparrow$ (higher prob. better)
- \triangleright counterbalanced by normalization: cannot be large everywhere

Normalized vs. Unnormalized Models (cont'd)

- \blacktriangleright Unnormalized model
	- \blacktriangleright no computation of partition function

$$
\tilde{p}(\omega) = \exp[h(\omega)]
$$

4 ロ ▶ 4 레 ▶ 4 로 ▶ 4 로 ▶ - 로 - 90 Q + 22/29

- \blacktriangleright use two-sided loss function
- \triangleright GloVe: quadratic loss with log-counts as targets
- $\rightarrow \tilde{p}(\omega)$ should neither be too large nor too small

Matrix Decomposition

 \blacktriangleright Absorb bias into vectors (wlog)

$$
x_{w,d-1}=1, \ \ x_{w,d}=b_w \quad \text{and} \quad y_{w,d-1}=c_w, \ \ y_{w,d}=1.
$$

 \blacktriangleright Define

$$
\mathbf{M} = (m_{ij}), \quad m_{ij} := \log n_{ij}
$$

$$
\mathbf{X} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{w_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{w_{|\mathcal{V}|}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Y} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{w_1} \cdots \mathbf{y}_{w_{|\mathcal{C}|}} \end{bmatrix}
$$

4 ロ → 4 御 → 4 ミ → 4 ミ → 23 = 23/29

Matrix Decomposition (cont'd)

• GloVe with $f := 1$ solves a *matrix factorization* problem

$$
\min_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}} \; \|\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{Y} \|_F^2
$$

- \triangleright GloVe: separate weight for each entry (data-dependent) \implies need to go beyond SVD
	- **Exercise**: GloVe with $f(n_{ij}) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n_{ij} > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \end{cases}$ 0 otherwise.

solves a *matrix completion* problem

$$
\min_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}} \sum_{ij \, : \, n_{ij} > 0} \left(m_{ij} - (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{Y})_{ij} \right)^2
$$

4 ロ ▶ 4 레 ▶ 4 로 ▶ 4 로 ▶ - 로 - 90 Q + 24/29

GloVe Optimization (no!)

- \triangleright Non-convex problem: hard to find global minimum
- \triangleright Gradient descent (aka steepest descent)

$$
\theta^{\mathsf{new}} \leftarrow \theta^{\mathsf{old}} - \eta\nabla_{\theta}\mathcal{H}(\theta;\mathbf{N}), \quad \eta > 0 \text{ (step size)}
$$

4 ロ ▶ 4 레 ▶ 4 코 ▶ 4 코 ▶ │ 코 │ ◆ 9 Q ① | 25/29

- $\Theta = ((\mathbf{x}_w)_{w \in \mathcal{V}}, (\mathbf{y}_w)_{w \in \mathcal{C}})$, embeddings = parameters
- \triangleright full gradient: often too expensive to compute \bigcirc

GloVe Optimization (yes!)

- \triangleright Use stochastic optimization to find local minimum
- \triangleright Stochastic gradient descent (SGD):
	- **E** sample (i, j) such that $n_{ij} > 0$ uniformly at random
	- \triangleright perform "cheap" update (single entry and sparse)

$$
\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\text{new}} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_{i} + 2\eta f(n_{ij}) \left(\log n_{ij} - \langle \mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{j} \rangle \right) \mathbf{y}_{j}
$$

$$
\mathbf{y}_{j}^{\text{new}} \leftarrow \mathbf{y}_{j} + 2\eta f(n_{ij}) \left(\log n_{ij} - \langle \mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{j} \rangle \right) \mathbf{x}_{i}
$$

4 ロ → 4 레 → 4 페 → 4 페 → 세로 → 10 호 → 20 / 20 29

Word Similarity

Nearest words to frog:

- 1. frogs
- 2. toad
- 3. litoria
- 4. leptodactylidae
- 5. rana
- 6. lizard
- 7. eleutherodactylus

litoria

leptodactylidae

eleutherodactylus

Affine Embedding Structure

 \triangleright Word vector analogies

 $a:b::c:?$ man:woman:: king:?

 \blacktriangleright 2*d*-projection

4 ロ → 4 個 → 4 ミ → 4 ミ → 28 = 28/29

Word Embeddings: Discussion

- \triangleright Word embeddings can model analogies and relatedness (see previous examples)
	- \blacktriangleright ... but: antonyms ("cheap" vs. "expensive") are usually not well captured
- \triangleright Word embeddings \implies sentence or document embeddings
	- \blacktriangleright simple: aggregation
	- \triangleright sophisticated: convolutional or recurrent neural networks
	- \triangleright use cases: language models, sentiment analysis, text categorization, machine translation, etc.
	- \blacktriangleright ... more about this in our "Natural Language Processing" class