Computational Intelligence Laboratory Lecture 9 Sparse Coding

Thomas Hofmann

ETH Zurich - cil.inf.ethz.ch

May 8, 2020

Section 1

Sparse Coding

↓ □ ▶ ↓ ● ▶ ↓ ■ ▶ ↓ ■ ♪ ○ ○ 2/44

Sparse Coding

Signals can be represented in different ways

- infinite number of possible representations
- each capturing different characteristics
- example: Fourier series



Sparse Coding

- Natural signals often allow for sparse representation
 - ▶ sparsity: many coefficients vanish (≈ 0), few are non-zero
 - due to regularity of signal
 - need to find suitable **dictionary** of atoms $\mathcal{U} = {\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_L}$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ 4/44

► such that accurate signal representation in span(U)

Signal Compression

- Given original signal $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and orthogonal matrix \mathbf{U}
- Compute linear transformation = change of basis

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{U}^{\top} \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

Energy preservation

$$\|\mathbf{U}^{\top}\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ 5/44

- direct consequence of orthogonality
- preservation of length

Signal Compression

 \blacktriangleright Truncate "small" values of $\mathbf{z} \Longrightarrow$ estimate $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$

- encoding only $K \ll D$ non-zero values
- for instance: employ a threshold ϵ

$$\hat{z}_d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |z_d| < \epsilon \\ z_d & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Reconstruct signal through inverse transform

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{U}\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \quad \text{as} \quad \mathbf{U}^{\top} = \mathbf{U}^{-1}$$

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 - う 4 で 6/44

- efficient inversion via transposition
- key idea: orthogonality of U

Decomposition and Reconstruction

• Given \mathbf{x} , orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_D\}$ (columns of \mathbf{U})

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{d=1}^{D} z_d(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_d, \quad z_d(\mathbf{x}) := \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_d \rangle$$

• Sparsification \equiv only use K-subset σ of basis functions

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{d \in \sigma} z_d(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_d$$

Reconstruction error:

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 = \sum_{d \notin \sigma} \|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_d \rangle \cdot \mathbf{u}_d\|^2 = \sum_{d \notin \sigma} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_d \rangle^2$$

<□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E のQ 7/44

1-D signal processing



Discrete Wavelet Transform



<ロ> < 母> < 母> < 国> < 国> < 国> 国 の へ の 8/44

Noisy signal: x



□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ 臣 _ 釣�(♡ _ 9/44

Fourier spectrum: $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}$



<ロ > < 母 > < 茎 > < 茎 > 茎 の Q で 10/44

Retain 3% of the coefficients: $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�� 11/44

Denoised signal: $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{U}\hat{\mathbf{z}}$



Signal Compression: Observations



- Signal is compressed by 97%.
- High signal frequencies have small amplitudes in spectrum
- Reconstructed signal: smoother than original one (low-pass filter)

Challenge: Localized signal



◆□ → ◆母 → ◆ = → = のへで 14/44

Challenge: Poor denoising of localized signal



< □ > < @ > < ≧ > < ≧ > E の Q C 15/44

Haar Wavelets



Note that the wavelet basis is orthogonal

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の ペ 16/44

Haar Wavelets – D = 4

For D = 4 we get the following orthogonal matrix

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0\\ 1 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} & 0\\ 1 & -1 & 0 & \sqrt{2}\\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < \ > ▶ < \ > ▶ = つへで 17/44

Haar Wavelets – D = 8

• For D = 8 we get the following orthogonal matrix

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ≡ ▶ < ≡ ▶ ○ Q ○ 18/44

Wavelets



< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の ペ · 19/44

Wavelet denoising of localized signal



< □ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ Ξ り ♀ ? 20/44

Wavelet denoising of smooth signal

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖 - の Q @ 21/44

Fourier basis vs Wavelet basis

A priori, there does not exist a choice of a transform that is better than all other choices. It depends on the signal type.

Fourier basis

- Global support
- Good for "sine like" signals
- Poor for localized signal

Wavelet basis

- Local support
- Good for localized signal
- Poor for non-vanishing signals

22/44

Principal Component Analysis

- Given $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_N]$ vectors in \mathbb{R}^D
- Mean: $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_n$
- Compute centered covariance matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}) (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M})^{\top}, \quad \mathbf{M} := [\underline{\mathbf{x}} \dots \underline{\mathbf{x}}]_{N \text{ times}}$$

Compute eigenvector decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^\top$$

- Σ: real symmetric matrix, U: orthogonal
- eigenvalues ordered: $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_D$

Principal Component Analysis (cont'd)

- Karhunen-Loeve transform or Hoteling transform
 - ▶ "throw away" the D K directions with smallest variance (dependent on signal set, not individual signal)
 - equivalently: keep K largest eigenvectors

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{U}\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \quad \hat{z}_d = \begin{cases} z_d & \text{if } d \leq K \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

suffices to define U_K as

$$\mathbf{U}_K := [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_K]$$

and to reconstruct via

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{U}_K \mathbf{z}_{[1:K]}$$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ 24/44</p>

Communication Cost

PCA basis \blacktriangleright **U**_K is data-dependent, optimal for given Σ

• Transmit: eigenvectors $\{\mathbf{u}_d : d \leq K\}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{1:K}$.

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < \ > ▲ \ > \ \ = \ りへで 25/44

Fixed basis ► Sender and receiver agree on basis beforehand, e.g. Haar Wavelets.

• Transmit: non-zero elements of \hat{z} .

2-D Discrete cosine transform

▶ in JPEG, DCT is applied to 8x8 blocks of an image.

further optimizations to improve compression.

2-D Discrete cosine transform

- Attention: think of each 8 × 8 patch as a D = 64 vector
- ► Basis functions are D = 64 vectors that can also be displayed as 8 × 8 patches
- ► There are 64 basis functions, which can be arranged on a 8 × 8 grid!
- Each red square is a basis function!

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < \ = ▶ < \ = ♪ つへで 27/44

Image compression with wavelets

(d)

(a) Discrete image of 256^2 pixels. (b) Orthogonal wavelet coefficients at 4 different scales: black points correspond to large coefficients. (c) Approximation using the three largest scales. (d) Approximation using the K largest coefficients $(K = \frac{256^2}{16}).$

(c)

Image denoising with wavelets

(a) Noisy image. (b) Orthogonal wavelet coefficients at 4 different scales; black points correspond to large coefficients. (c) Approximation using the three largest scales. (d) Approximation using the K largest coefficients $(K = \frac{256^2}{16}).$

Image compression

Original Lena Image (256 x 256 Pixels, 24-Bit RGB)

JPEG Compressed (Compression Ratio 43:1)

JPEG2000 Compressed (Compression Ratio 43:1)

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ · ⑦ Q @ 30/44

Computational Efficiency

- ▶ Basis transform via matrix multiplication = $O(D^2)$ cost
- In practice: exploit fast transforms
 - Fourier: $\mathbf{O}(D \log D)$
 - Wavelet: $\mathbf{O}(D)$ or $\mathbf{O}(D \log D)$
- Image compression:
 - break-up images into blocks, transform each block

- avoids quadratic blow-up
- for example JPEG: DCT on 8x8 blocks

Section 2

Overcomplete Dictionaries

< □ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ E の Q @ 32/44

Sparse Representations

Summary: Natural signals have approx. sparse representations in suitable orthogonal bases, e.g. wavelets for natural images.

From S. Mallat, A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing – The Sparse Way, Academic Press, 2009

Recall so far...

- Coding via orthogonal transforms
 - \blacktriangleright given: signal ${\bf x}$ and orthonormal matrix ${\bf U}$
 - compute linear transformation (change of basis) $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$
 - truncate "small" values, $\mathbf{z} \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{z}}$.
 - compute inverse transform (recall $\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^{\top}$) $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{U}\hat{\mathbf{z}}$.

Measuring Accuracy

- \blacktriangleright reconstruction error $\|\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|$
- sparsity of the coding vector $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$
- Dictionary choice
 - Fourier dictionary is good for "sine like" signals.
 - wavelet dictionary is good for localized signals.
 - more general dictionaries: overcomplete dictionaries...

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Overcomplete Dictionaries

- Beyond a "change of basis"
 - no single basis is optimally sparse for all signal classes
 - ► overcompleteness (U ∈ ℝ^{D×L} such that L > D): more atoms (dictionary elements) than dimensions
 - union of orthogonal bases and general overcomplete dictionaries: coding algorithm chooses best representation.

decoding: involved, no closed form reconstruction formula

Morphology of Signals I

Dictionary selection strategy:

- Manually, by signal inspection
- > Try several, choose the one which affords sparsest coding

Morphology of Signals II

From S. Mallat, A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing – The Sparse Way, Academic Press, 2009

Signal might be a superposition of several characteristics:

- smooth gradients plus oscillating texture
- hence: single orthonormal basis cannot sparsely code both.

Coding idea: Algorithm picks *atoms* (dictionary elements) from a *union of bases*, each one responsible for one characteristic.

General Overcomplete Dictionaries

- Full coding (K = 3) in spanning basis $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$
- K = 2 coding possible using a four atom dictionary

$$ilde{\mathbf{U}} = [\mathbf{u}_1\,\mathbf{u}_2\,\mathbf{u}_3\,\mathbf{u}_4] \in \mathbb{R}^{3 imes 4}$$

aligned with densely populated subspaces.

► L > D atoms are no longer linearly independent.

Example: Directional Gabor Wavelets

- Gabor wavelets
 - directional oscillation
 - amplitude modulated by Gaussian window

$$g(n_1, n_2; \mu_1, \mu_2, f, \theta) \propto \exp\left[-(n_1 - \mu_1)^2\right] \exp\left[-(n_2 - \mu_2)^2\right]$$
$$\times \cos\left(f \cdot (n_1 \cos \theta + n_2 \sin \theta)\right)$$

discretizing the parameter range of μ₁, μ₂, f and θ determines the dictionary size, i.e. the overcompleteness factor ^L/_D.

Coherence

Increasing the overcompleteness factor $\frac{L}{D}$:

- Increases (potentially) the sparsity of the coding.
- Increases the linear dependency between atoms.

Linear dependency measure for dictionaries: coherence

$$m\left(\mathbf{U}\right) = \max_{i,j:i\neq j} \left|\mathbf{u}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{u}_{j}\right|.$$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ 2 · ○ Q ○ 40/44</p>

- $m(\mathbf{B}) = 0$ for an orthogonal basis \mathbf{B} .
- $m([\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}]) \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}$ if atom \mathbf{u} is added to orthogonal \mathbf{B} .

Signal Reconstruction (Invertible Dictionary)

${\bf U}$ is orthonormal

 \blacktriangleright matrix multiplication $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{z}$

U is spanning basis (*D* linearly independent atoms)

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 - の Q で 41/44

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbf{x} = \left(\mathbf{U}^{\top}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{z}$$

 \blacktriangleright inverting \mathbf{U}^{\top} can be ill-conditioned

Signal Reconstruction (General Dictionary)

 $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times L}$ is overcomplete (L > D):

- ► *Ill-posed* problem: more unknowns than equations.
- ▶ add constraint: find sparsest $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^L$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{z}$

Solve mathematical program

$$\mathbf{z}^{\star} \in \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}$$

s.t. $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{z}$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

• $\|\mathbf{z}\|_0$ counts the number of non-zero elements in \mathbf{z} .

Signal Reconstruction: Matching Pursuit

Sparsest solution, under the equality constraint:

$$\mathbf{z}^{\star} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}, \ \text{s.t.} \ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{z}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- NP hard combinatorial problem
- brute-force: exhaustive search over all atom subsets
- greedy approximation: Matching Pursuit
- Matching Pursuit (Mallat & Zhang 1993)
 - assume (length) normalized atoms u_j
 - greedily select $j^* = \arg \max_j |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle|$
 - $\blacktriangleright \text{ add } \hat{\mathbf{x}} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{j^*} \rangle \mathbf{u}_{j^*}$
 - compute residual $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{j^*} \rangle \mathbf{u}_{j^*}$
 - repeat

Signal Reconstruction using Convex Optimization

• Minimum ℓ_1 -norm solution, under the equality constraint:

$$\mathbf{z}^{\star} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{1}, \ \text{s.t.} \ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{z}$$

Convex Optimization Problem

Under suitable conditions on U, the solutions of the two problems are equivalent! \Rightarrow can use standard convex optimization methods.